

AS - Ayman Badawi MTH-213-Discrete Mathematics-01 Section 01

Project Title: **Main Course Evaluation: Summer 2022**

Course Audience: **29**
Responses Received: **10**
Response Ratio: **34.48%**

Report Comments

INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results gathered during the online course-instructor evaluations. Students were invited to share their feedback on the teaching and the course material, ultimately to help improve the overall quality of education at our institution.

It is now our collective duty to turn this insight into action.

As part of this mission, all instructors receive an Individual Report for developmental purposes i.e. to identify strengths and areas for improvement in regard to their teaching methods. Contents include graphs, tables, rankings, frequencies, statistics, and comments, as well as reflective questions to help guide you in the preparation of your personal development plan.

We urge every Faculty Member to diligently examine all the analysis, to seek to understand it, to take note of patterns, to draw logical conclusions and to take it upon yourself to act on the valuable feedback your students have taken the time to provide.




Creation Date: **Wednesday, July 6, 2022**


Comparative Score

△ Min ◇ Max | StandardDev


Overall




1. The course assessment and grading criteria were clearly defined.




2. The course content, as described in the syllabus, was fully covered.




3. The course material (textbook(s) and supplemented material) were appropriate.




4. The course learning outcomes, as stated in the syllabus, were achieved.




5. The classroom discussion/interaction helped me understand the course material.




6. The teaching method(s) (i.e., way(s) of teaching) used in this class helped me learn the course content.




7. Students' questions relevant to class discussion/lecture were encouraged and welcomed.




8. My experience in the course has stimulated my interest in the subject.




9. My work in the course was evaluated within the timeframe the instructor said it would be evaluated.




10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my work.




11. The instructor started and finished the class on time.



12. The Instructor was accessible during the office hours stated in the syllabus.



13. Overall, my learning experience in this course was positive.



Breakdown

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Median	Mode	Std Dev	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept Pct Rnk	College N	College Mean	College Pct Rnk
The course assessment and grading criteria were clearly defined.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.73	89	630	4.62	83
The course content, as described in the syllabus, was fully covered.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.79	80	630	4.66	81
The course material (textbook(s) and supplemented material) were appropriate.	9	1	0	0	0	10	4.90	5.00	5	0.32	210	4.63	73	630	4.56	69
The course learning outcomes, as stated in the syllabus, were achieved.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.68	86	630	4.65	80
The classroom discussion/interaction helped me understand the course material.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.57	88	630	4.53	85
The teaching method(s) (i.e., way(s) of teaching) used in this class helped me learn the course content.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.54	88	630	4.50	85
Students' questions relevant to class discussion/lecture were encouraged and welcomed.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.68	82	630	4.64	81
My experience in the course has stimulated my interest in the subject.	9	1	0	0	0	10	4.90	5.00	5	0.32	210	4.14	77	630	4.22	76
My work in the course was evaluated within the timeframe the instructor said it would be evaluated.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.74	84	630	4.61	84
The instructor provided helpful feedback on my work.	9	0	1	0	0	10	4.80	5.00	5	0.63	210	4.48	68	630	4.44	68
The instructor started and finished the class on time.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.78	79	630	4.70	83
The Instructor was accessible during the office hours stated in the syllabus.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.66	86	630	4.60	85
Overall, my learning experience in this course was positive.	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	210	4.50	86	630	4.45	86

What did you like the most about the course?

Comments

The class notes and past exam papers were sufficient when studying for the exam. Additionally, the concepts were explained clearly.

the course introduced us to material in computer engineering which was very interesting to learn about

The way the material was introduced made it simple to understand, and stimulated my interest in the subject area.

Prof Ayman's teaching is exceptional. he is truly a master in his field. I wish him the best.
he cares greatly about his students, he makes sure we understand everything

The teaching methods and notes of Professor Ayman 👍

the professor explained all the questions and concepts thoroughly and made sure we understood everything

Suggest specific improvements to the course, if any.

Comments

None. The course was great, and the professor made the content interesting and approachable to the students.

to change the syllabus to match his system or structure, as he starts differently

Maybe having a few quizzes could help the grade.